# **Bad Software**

Cem Kaner Special Libraries Association February, 1999

Copyright (c) Cem Kaner, 1999.

# **The Underlying Problems**

David Pels and I wrote *Bad Software* because we were troubled by several problems:

- Software companies ship software with known defects as a matter of course, but they are taking less responsibility for it:
  - Less troubleshooting information in documentation
  - "Call avoidance" philosophy underlies support practices in many companies.
  - Support services are often treated as profit centers.
  - Greater rush to release products early--"Internet time."
- People aren't as effective in getting support for software than for most other consumer products.
- Some people don't realize that they have the same rights to good software as to other products (and some lawyers are trying to take advantage of this).

- Defects are inevitable in mass-market software.
  - Cost/benefit tradeoffs are routine for known defects and for product testing.
  - Immense pressure to ship products quickly: The 4th competitor to market probably gets less than a 3% share.
  - High cost of entry for new publishers' products.
  - Increasingly complex hardware/software configurations drive up support calls and costs.
  - Myth that no one uses documentation results in lower doc budgets and hugely increased support costs.

- Companies routinely deny their defects during calls for support (even known defects):
  - we've never heard of that
  - it must be your video card
  - it must be you
  - it's a feature
- 200 million calls to tech support in 1996. 3-4 billion customerminutes wasted on hold.
- Longest complaint hold times, across industries
- Computer transactions joined the BBB top 10 complaint list, knocking out used car dealers.

- Support costs have skyrocketed. Staff ratios have gone from 1:12 to 1:7.
- Tarter, Is there a payoff for service quality? (*Microsoft improved its customer service but a survey said that this won't affect Microsoft products' salability. Conclusion--this massive improvement in quality didn't improve Microsoft's competitive position. Yeah, right.*)

 For more data (Prognostics, SSPA, Softbank, etc.), see http://www.badsoftware.com/stats.htm

- Research data from Industry Canada, Bureau of Competition (analogous to our Federal Trade Commission):
  - Over 2000 claims were evaluated during this survey.
    Overall, some 163, or 8.1% of all claims evaluated were potentially false or misleading. While this may appear to be a small percentage based on the number of claims evaluated, these incorrect claims represent 65% of all the software titles tested.
  - http://www.strategis.ic.gc.ca/FBP and search for "software"
- Product compatibility problems are the most often complained about, but many companies test this very lightly. Recent court cases make it clear that companies are accountable for their compatibility claims.

# **People Can Complain More Effectively**

- Few people call for help, and far fewer call back
- Easy to intimidate / convince people that it is their problem
- When you know you're right (losses caused by defect), what should you ask for?
  - You want to keep it
    - free upgrades
    - free support, free extended support
    - reimbursement for documented out-of-pocket losses
    - re-entry of your data
  - You want to get rid of it
    - refund
    - conversion of data to other format
    - repayment for documented out-of-pocket losses

#### **People Can Complain More Effectively**

- These remedies might not be legally required, but many companies will provide them in order to do the right thing for a reasonable customer with a genuine problem.
- In our opinion, chapters 3-5 (how to research the problem, how to figure out what you want, and how to negotiate with the publisher) are the most valuable chapters of the book.

# Legal Issues: Shrink-Wrapped Licenses Misrepresent Customers' Rights

- Post-sale warranty disclaimers are invalid.
  - Warranty of merchantability has been hard to disclaim since the days of snake oil.
- Post-sale limitations on remedies are suspect. Several states reject them.
- Restrictions on use (no reverse engineering, can't write negative magazine reviews) are invalid under Fair Use doctrine.
- Restrictions on transfer (can't lend or give away) are invalid under First Sale doctrine.
  - This is an <u>old</u> conversation

#### **Licensing Restrictions in the Mass Market**

- Bobbs-Merrill v. Straus (1908)
- Boston Store of America v. American Graphophone (1918)
- Matthew Bender v. West Publishing opinions (1998). The ProCD case provides the only real hope to private companies that want to own the public domain.

- Uniform Commercial Code (UCC) is the law in 50 states.
- Article 2 governs contracts for sale of goods in USA in 49 states.
  - Sale of packaged software is a sale of goods.
  - Sale of custom software is a sale of services, not covered by the UCC.
- UCC is supplemented by laws governing fraud, deceptive trade practices, unfair competition, public safety, and consumer protection.

- UCC is maintained and updated by the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws (NCCUSL) a legal drafting organization funded by the 50 US states that writes all "Uniform" laws.
- The UCC is co-maintained by the American Law Institute, another non-profit body of senior lawyers.
- UCC and ALI appoint a joint Drafting Committee to prepare recommended revisions.

- Will govern all contracts for the development, sale, licensing, maintenance and support of software and almost all contracts for the licensing of information.
- Current draft is over 200 pages ("official" formatting runs well over 250 pages)
- 12 years in the works so far.
- Scheduled for completion this spring, and introduction into state legislatures in winter, 1999.
- Current draft significantly criticized at ALI and NCCUSL annual meetings, which passed motions calling for significant revision. Despite that, good chance that legislators will receive a bill with only cosmetic changes.

- Validates shrink-wrap / click-wrap contracting with hidden terms. Repeated refusal to require companies to let customers see the licenses, on request, before the sale.
- Takes software out of scope of several consumer protection laws. (While claiming that it doesn't.)
- Changes the rules for creating and disclaiming warranties.
- Makes it harder to get a refund.
- Lets vendors profit from known bugs.
- Approves use restrictions for mass-market products, including information content products (such as electronic books, online magazines, etc.).
- Current draft of 2B is at

http://www.law.upenn.edu/bll/ulc/ulc.htm

# **Growing Opposition to Article 2B**

Here are some of the organizations that have recently asked that 2B be tabled or cancelled or that have raised fundamental criticisms of Article 2B: (§itysfatetleidyundspolypedrtit tooy yourflebscos(www.2BGuide.com/docs/v9-98.]

